

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STEVEN STROUD

v.

RYAN BOORSTEIN, ET AL.

:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 10-3355

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of November, 2014, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion *in Limine* to Preclude Plaintiff from Introducing Disposition of Criminal Charges and Results of Blood Alcohol Content Test (ECF No. 30), Plaintiff's Motion *in Limine* to Exclude Any and all Evidence Concerning Prior Criminal History (ECF No. 31), and Plaintiff's Motion *in Limine* to Exclude Any and All Evidence Concerning Encounters Between Plaintiff and Law Enforcement Post-Dating July 18, 2009 (ECF No. 34), and all documents submitted in support thereof, and in opposition thereto, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion *in Limine* to Preclude Plaintiff from Introducing Disposition of Criminal Charges and Results of Blood Alcohol Content Test (ECF No. 30) is **DENIED**;
2. Plaintiff's Motion *in Limine* to Exclude Any and all Evidence Concerning Prior Criminal History (ECF No. 31) is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. To the extent that Plaintiff testifies that the force used by Defendant police officers was unprovoked, Defendants may inquire on cross-examination about similar accusations made by Plaintiff in the past. Defendants may not admit substantive evidence about Plaintiff's prior arrests or convictions; and
3. Plaintiff's Motion *in Limine* to Exclude Any and All Evidence Concerning Encounters Between Plaintiff and Law Enforcement Post-Dating July 18, 2009

(ECF No. 34) is **DENIED**, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Surrick', is written over a faint horizontal line.

R. BARCLAY SURRICK, J.